

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,340	02/20/2004	Laurentius Cornelis Josephus Hesselmans	30394-1117 7703	
5179 7	7590 11/14/2006		EXAMINER	
PEACOCK MYERS, P.C. 201 THIRD STREET, N.W.			CAMERON, ERMA C	
SUITE 1340			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,340	HESSELMANS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erma Cameron	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
	/ IC CET TO EXPIDE A MONTH	(0) OP TUBETY (00) PAYO	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 At	iaust 2006		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 7-18</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.	·	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-17 is/are withdrawn	' '		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5, 18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau	` *		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.	
	•		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atoni ppilodaoli	

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-3, 5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The following is new matter that was not in the specification as originally filed. The applicant is requested to delete all new matter.

a) Claim 1, line 9

particle size of 0.5 to 200 microns *

b) Claim 1, line 18

the same reaction time

c) Claim 1, line 23

0 to 5% of water

d) Claim 1, line 23

0 to 2% of acid

Application/Control Number: 10/783,340 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

e) Claim 1, line 24 0 to 2% of base

f) Claim 1, line 24 0 to 0.5% of metal catalyst

g) Claim 1, line 24 0 to 20% of solvent

h) Claim 1, line 25 0 to 5% of...surfactant

i) Claim 1, line 25 ionic or anionic

j) Claim 1, line 22 and claim 5 (used 4 times) one or more

k) Claim 5 equilibrium time

* The applicant has argued that the particle size is based on the value in claim 3 of 6893683 which claims priority to WO 01/23451. However, neither of these references are incorporated into the specification and therefore it is not appropriate to use matter from these references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 01/23451.

Art Unit: 1762

'451 teaches reacting a polyisocyanate with a powder of a reactive H compound such as adipic dihydrazide or carbodihydrazide (4:6-5:10) by applying the mixture to a substrate and then immersing the coated substrate into water at 20-100 degrees C. The water is the additive that allows the reaction to occur at a temperature lower than the 50-300 degrees for 1-20 minutes that the reaction would normally occur (6:14-32). The reaction times are similar (1-20 minutes at the higher T, versus 0.5-10 minutes at the lower T). The difference in reaction T is -50 to 280 degrees C, which overlaps with applicant's claimed T difference.

Response to Arguments

The applicant has argued that the results shown in the table on page 15 of the 8/29/2006 amendment supports their argument against the above rejection. However, the examiner cannot find this data in the specification as filed.

The applicant has additionally argued that "and in addition 0 to 5% of an ionic or anionic surfactant" added to claim 1 means that the application is non-obvious over WO 01/23451.

However, the examiner would like to remind the applicant that they had elected water as the additive, not surfactant (8/19/2005). In addition, 0 to 5% allows for no surfactant to be present.

Further in addition, "ionic" and "anionic" and "0 to 5% ...surfactant" are new matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,340 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Applicant should include a priority statement in the specification.

This was not addressed in the 8/29/2006 amendment.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erma Cameron whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERMA CAMERON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
November 9, 2006

Erma Cameron Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762